

**CONFIDENTIAL**

25X1

[REDACTED]

14 May 1957

Deputy Director of Training

25X1

Proposed change in [REDACTED]

25X1

1. [REDACTED] came to see me yesterday, at Colonel White's suggestion, to discuss the [REDACTED] Jack is concerned about para 4b(2), which he says is unrealistic and unworkable. His reasons, stated somewhat redundantly, are:

a. Para 4b(1) covers all the ways in which JOT candidates can be identified, including in (c) the Deputy Directors who are themselves Heads of Career Services.

b. Deputies can meet the requirement of 4b(2) only by overruling or second-guessing all the avenues of nomination listed in 4b(1). In other words, all possibilities are covered in 4b(1), leaving no room for additional action on the part of the Deputies. This is particularly true of the DD/S.

c. The proper function of the Deputies is to assure adequate action by those listed in 4b(1), rather than to add five personal choices each which would tend to be designations rather than nominations.

2. His conclusion, therefore, is that 4b(2) is redundant and impractical and should be eliminated. He may have a good point. Would you please check out the suggestion and see if there is a better way to phrase the regulation?

[REDACTED]

25X1

*P.S.D. luc*  
*Aug 11 - 1957*  
[REDACTED]  
*DDTR*

Document No. 4

NO CHANGE in Class. ☒

☐ DECLASSIFIED

Class. CHANGED TO: TS S C

EX-100, 4 Apr 77

Auth: *DDTR* 77/07/83

Date: *150378* [REDACTED]

**CONFIDENTIAL**